

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,575	LIANG, GEORGE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Edgar	3745	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Richard Edgar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Norman Friedland.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2005

**Time:** 12:00 EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*3, 4, and 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite examination of the instant application, a proposed examiner's amendment was faxed to Mr. Norman Friedland for review. The amendment included correction of minor informalities (see Examiner's Amendment). Authorization was given for the proposed amendment, similar to the formal examiner's amendment attached..